1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California DANIEL ROGERS				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VINCENT LAPIETRA				
4	Deputy Attorney General LISE S. JACOBSON				
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 183862				
6	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266				
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Talanhana: (610) 728 0010				
8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9019 Fax: (619) 645-2044 E-mail: Lise.Jacobson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent				
9	E-mail: Lise.Jacobson@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent				
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13					
14					
15	MARK OWEN LAUN,	EDCV 18-02226 JVS (KES)			
16	Petitioner,	ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS			
17	v.	Judge: The Honorable Karen E. Scott			
18	ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF,	rage. The Honorable Raten E. Scott			
19	Respondent.				
20					
21					
22	Pursuant to this Court's Order, and in accordance with Rule 5 of the Rules				
23	Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Court, Respondent submits				
24	this Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.				
25	Respondent denies all allegations that Petitioner Mark Laun was unlawfully				
26	convicted or detained and makes the following assertions:				
27					
28					

I. 1 2 Laun was placed on probation for three years for his convictions for felony domestic battery causing injury with a deadly weapon use enhancement and assault 3 4 with a deadly weapon. Since he filed his Petition, Laun successfully completed 5 probation and had his felony convictions reduced to misdemeanors and dismissed. 6 II. 7 The Petition is moot because there is no meaningful relief that this Court can provide. 8 9 III. Ground One of the Petition—a freestanding claim of actual innocence—is not 10 cognizable on federal habeas review. 11 IV. 12 Grounds Two, Three, and Four-prosecutorial misconduct, ineffective 13 14 assistance of trial counsel, and juror misconduct—are subject to the relitigation bar 15 of 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d). Relief is unavailable because the state court's rejection of 16 these claims was neither contrary to, nor an unreasonable application of, clearly 17 established federal law. 18 V. 19 Respondent has lodged the relevant state court records with the previously 20 filed Notice of Lodgment and a Supplemental Notice of Lodgment, except for the 21 state court records that Laun filed with this Court. 22 VI. 23 Laun is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing to resolve his claims. 28 U.S.C. § 24 2254(e)(2). 25 VII. 26 The relevant facts and procedural history are set forth in the accompanying 27 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, which is incorporated herein by this 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	Case Name:	Laun v. Orange County Sheriff	No.	EDCV 18-02226 JVS (KES))
--	------------	-------------------------------	-----	--------------------------------	---

I hereby certify that on <u>December 29, 2022</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>December 29, 2022</u>, at San Diego, California.

W. Hernandez	1) altraform		
Declarant	Signature		

1 2 00 11

LSJ: wh SD2019800155 83745236.docx